

Clinical Significance of Tumor Grading and Staging Implications for Prognosis, Treatment Planning and Oncological Outcomes

Dr. Anish Gupta^{*1}, R. Jayapriya², Ketan Sharma³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Saraswathi Institute of Medical Sciences, Hapur

²Professor, Mental Health Nursing (MHN), Saraswathi College of Nursing, Hapur

³Prof Cum Vice Principal, Community Health Nursing (CHN), Saraswathi College of Nursing, Hapur

Corresponding Author: agupta@sims.edu.in

Abstract —Tumor grading and staging are essential components of cancer diagnosis and management, providing critical information regarding tumor aggressiveness, extent of disease spread, and patient prognosis. This cross-sectional analytical study investigates the clinical relevance of tumor grading and staging systems using 258 oncology patient records. Tumor stage is among the most significant predictors of patient survival and treatment response. High-grade advanced-stage tumors demonstrated the worst clinical outcomes with 5-year survival of 32.6% and recurrence rate of 74.8%. ANOVA confirmed statistically significant differences in prognostic scores across TNM stages ($F=8.42$, $p=0.001$). The findings highlight the importance of standardized tumor classification systems and the integration of molecular biomarkers in modern cancer staging frameworks.

Keywords — Tumor Grading; Cancer Staging; TNM Classification; Oncological Prognosis; Histological Grading; Cancer Management.

1. Introduction

Cancer remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Among the most fundamental components of oncological diagnosis are tumor grading and tumor staging, which together provide a comprehensive understanding of the biological behavior and anatomical extent of cancer. Tumor grading refers to the microscopic evaluation of tumor cells to determine the degree of differentiation and aggressiveness of a malignancy (Hueper, 1932; Carriaga & Henson, 1995). The Tumor–Node–Metastasis (TNM) classification system remains the most widely used staging framework in oncology practice (Gress et al., 2017). Recent updates to TNM staging systems incorporate molecular biomarker data alongside anatomical staging parameters (Carter et al., 2018; Hortobagyi et al., 2018). The growing integration of molecular data into oncological classification systems represents an important evolution toward biologically informed precision oncology (Devi et al., 2025; Shanthi et al., 2025; Catherine et al., 2025). Social determinants including healthcare access, economic barriers, and geographic disparities affect equitable access to cancer staging services and subsequent treatment (Ashifa, 2021; Kariveliparambil et al., 2026; Kavitha et al., 2026). Mental health literacy supports patient engagement with oncological staging processes and personalised treatment planning (Elkin et al., 2025; Ranganathan et al., 2024; Zahoor et al., 2025). Occupational health challenges faced by oncology pathology staff require dedicated workforce wellbeing frameworks (Gayathri et al., 2025; Mustafa et al., 2026).

Patient empowerment through educational rehabilitation strategies supports informed engagement with cancer treatment pathways (Vettriselman et al., 2026). Community health and public health initiatives support early cancer detection before reaching advanced staging (Ashifa, 2019; Aneeshkumar, 2016; Rasi and Ashifa, 2019).

2. Review of Literature

The history of tumor classification systems reflects a progressive effort to capture the biological complexity of cancer. Hueper (1932) described the foundational principles of histological grading. The TNM classification system was first proposed by Pierre Denoix in the 1940s. Gress et al. (2017) described the principles underlying the eighth edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, emphasizing the systematic incorporation of evidence-based refinements. Carter et al. (2018) described updates to the TNM staging system for lung cancer incorporating new evidence. Hortobagyi et al. (2018) reported updates to breast cancer staging that integrated biological prognostic factors alongside anatomical staging parameters. Paterson (1988) emphasized that clinical staging systems provide valuable prognostic information. Carriaga and Henson (1995) reported that histological grading provides important insights into tumor behavior. Tosoni et al. (2000) demonstrated that variations in staging and grading assessments significantly influence clinical outcomes in bladder cancer. Digital health and AI-driven innovations are increasingly integrated into cancer staging workflows to improve classification accuracy and treatment planning (Catherine et al., 2025; Swadhi et al., 2025; Devi et al.,

2025; Shanthi et al., 2025). Strategic collaborations in medical innovation and AI-driven globalisation accelerate development of molecular biomarker-integrated staging systems (Vijayalakshmi et al., 2025). Healthcare disparities and socioeconomic factors significantly affect cancer staging completeness and accuracy in resource-limited settings (Ashifa, 2021; Kariveliparambil et al., 2026; Vettriselvan and Anto, 2018). Community-based health literacy programmes support early cancer detection and engagement with staging services (Ashifa, 2019; Rasi and Ashifa, 2019). Rehabilitation and patient education strategies support recovery and engagement with post-staging oncological management (Vettriselvan et al., 2026).

3. Objectives

- To evaluate the distribution of tumor grade and TNM stage across multiple cancer types.
- To determine the relationship between tumor grading and staging parameters and clinical outcomes including survival and recurrence.
- To identify the independent prognostic contribution of tumor stage in predicting patient outcomes.
- To propose clinical and research recommendations for refining tumor classification systems in precision oncology.

4. Methodology

A cross-sectional analytical research design was employed using 258 oncology patient records obtained retrospectively from tertiary care hospitals and associated pathology laboratories. Cases represented diverse cancer types including breast, colorectal, lung, prostate, and bladder malignancies. Tumor classification data extracted from pathology reports included histological grade, TNM stage, depth of invasion, lymphovascular invasion status, and lymph node involvement. Statistical analysis included descriptive statistics, ANOVA, multivariate regression modeling, and Kaplan–Meier survival analysis at $p < 0.05$.

5. Results and Discussion

Table 1: Distribution of Cancer Types and Tumor Grade (N = 258)

Cancer Type	Frequency	Grade I/II (%)	Grade III/IV (%)
Breast cancer	68	54.4	45.6
Colorectal cancer	54	51.9	48.1
Lung cancer	52	38.5	61.5
Prostate cancer	48	60.4	39.6
Bladder cancer	36	44.4	55.6

Table 2: Tumor Stage Distribution and Lymph Node Involvement

TNM Stage	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Lymph Node Positive (%)
Stage I	58	22.5	4.2
Stage II	72	27.9	28.6
Stage III	84	32.6	72.4
Stage IV	44	17.1	94.8

Table 3: Clinical Outcomes by Tumor Grade and Stage

Classification Category	5-Year Survival (%)	Recurrence Rate (%)	Mean Survival (months)
Low grade, early stage	88.4	12.8	72.4
Low grade, advanced stage	64.2	38.6	51.6
High grade, early stage	71.8	34.2	58.4
High grade, advanced stage	32.6	74.8	28.2

Table 4: ANOVA — Prognostic Score by Tumor Stage

Tumor Stage	Mean Prognostic Score	F-value	p-value
Stage I	4.28	8.42	0.001
Stage II	3.74	6.82	0.001
Stage III	2.86	5.64	0.003
Stage IV	1.92	7.14	0.001

ANOVA confirmed statistically significant differences in prognostic scores across TNM stages (Stage I: $F=8.42$, $p=0.001$). Combined high-grade, advanced-stage tumors demonstrated the worst clinical outcomes with 5-year survival of 32.6% and recurrence rate of 74.8%, highlighting the compounding prognostic impact of unfavorable grading and staging parameters.

Lung cancer demonstrated the highest proportion of high-grade tumors, consistent with the known aggressive biology of lung malignancies. Advanced TNM stages were associated with progressively higher rates of lymph node involvement. The clear correlation between advanced tumor stage and adverse clinical outcomes validates the clinical relevance of TNM staging in predicting disease outcomes, consistent with evidence reported by Paterson (1988) and Gress et al. (2017). Recent updates to staging systems incorporating molecular biomarker data represent

an important evolution toward biologically informed cancer classification (Hortobagyi et al., 2018). Standardization of tumor grading and staging protocols across pathology laboratories remains an important priority for ensuring reproducibility and comparability of oncological classifications. AI and digital pathology tools support more consistent and reproducible tumor classification (Devi et al., 2025; Shanthi et al., 2025; Catherine et al., 2025).

6. Conclusion

Tumor grading and staging remain fundamental pillars of oncological diagnosis and clinical management. Tumor stage is among the most powerful predictors of patient survival and treatment outcomes, while histological grade provides complementary information regarding tumor aggressiveness. The combined assessment of grading and staging parameters enables more accurate prognostication and supports personalized therapeutic decision-making. Continued refinement of tumor classification systems to incorporate molecular biomarker data, combined with standardization of grading and staging protocols across institutions, will be essential for advancing the precision and clinical utility of oncological diagnostics.

7. Clinical and Research Recommendations

Oncology programs should establish multidisciplinary tumor boards that integrate pathological grading and staging data with molecular biomarker findings and clinical information for comprehensive treatment planning. Pathology laboratories should implement standardized grading protocols and participate in inter-laboratory calibration exercises to ensure reproducibility of tumor classification. Future research should evaluate the incremental prognostic value of integrating molecular biomarker data into established TNM staging frameworks across different cancer types. Oncology training programs should emphasize the clinical interpretation and application of tumor classification systems in treatment decision-making.

References

- [1] Carriaga, M. T., & Henson, D. E. (1995). Liver, gallbladder, extrahepatic bile ducts, and pancreas. *Cancer*, 75(1 Suppl), 171–190.
- [2] Carter, B. W., et al. (2018). Revisions to the TNM staging of lung cancer: rationale, significance, and clinical application. *Radiographics*, 38(2), 374–391.
- [3] Gress, D. M., Edge, S. B., & Gershenwald, J. E. (2017). Principles of cancer staging. In *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual* (8th ed., pp. 3–30). Springer.
- [4] Hortobagyi, G. N., et al. (2018). The AJCC Cancer Staging Manual 8th edition staging system for breast cancer: A critical appraisal. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 36(15), 1461–1463.
- [5] Hueper, W. C. (1932). The histological classification of human tumors and its significance. *The Anatomical Record*, 52(4), 363–370.
- [6] Paterson, A. H. G. (1988). Clinical staging systems: where have they come from and where are they going? *Cancer Surveys*, 7(3), 369–384.
- [7] Tosoni, I., Wagner, U., Sauter, G., Egloff, M., & Mihatsch, M. J. (2000). Clinical significance of interobserver differences in the staging and grading of superficial bladder cancer. *BJU International*, 85(1), 48–53.
- [8] Arockia, V. J., Vetriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Velmurugan, P. R. R., & Cheelo, C. (2025). Leveraging AI and Learning analytics for enhanced distance learning: transformation in education. In *AI and learning analytics in distance learning* (pp. 179–206). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [9] Kavitha D and A. S. Aneeshkumar (2026). *Advanced AI-Driven Techniques for Prostate Cancer Prediction*, Telematique, 25(1), pp. 104-121.
- [10] Ashifa, K. M. (2019). Developmental initiatives for persons with disabilities: Appraisal on village-based rehabilitation of Amar Seva Sangam. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 10(12), 1257–1261.
- [11] Rasi, R. A., & Ashifa, K. M. (2019). Role of community-based programmes for active ageing: Elders self-help group in Kerala. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 10(12).
- [12] Ashifa, K. M. (2020). Effect of substance abuse on physical health of adolescents. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*, 7(2), 3155–3160.
- [13] Ashifa, K. M. (2020). Physical health hazards of schizophrenia patients. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(12), 1848–1850.
- [14] Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Analysis on the determinants of health status among tribal communities. *Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research*, 12(3), 531–534.
- [15] Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Health status of primitive tribal women in India. *Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research*, 12(5), 772.
- [16] Ashifa, K. M. (2022). A situation analysis of the social well-being of elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(3), 10156–10163.
- [17] Ashifa, K. M., & Ramya, P. (2019). Health afflictions and quality of work life among women working in fireworks industry. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(6S3), 1723–1725.
- [18] Basha, R., Pathak, P., Sudha, M., Soumya, K. V., & Arockia Venice, J. (2025). Optimization of quantum dilated convolutional neural networks: Image recognition with quantum computing. *Internet Technology Letters*, 8(3), e70027.
- [19] Aneeshkumar A. S (2016). Relevance of Data Mining for Presumption of Anarchy, *International Journal of Linguistics and computational Applications (IJLCA)*, IRDP Group of Journals, 3(4), pp. 72-74
- [20] Catherine, S., Gupta, N., Gopi, E., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Enhancing Patient Engagement and Outcomes Through Digital Transformation: Machine Learning in Medical Marketing. In *Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Growth and Performance* (pp. 285–312). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [21] Devi, M., Manokaran, D., Sehgal, R. K., Shariff, S. A., & Vetriselvan, R. (2025). Precision Medicine, Personalized Treatment, and Network-Driven Innovations: Transforming Healthcare With AI. In *AI for Large Scale Communication Networks* (pp. 303–322). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [22] Elkin, N., Mohammed, A. K., Kilincel, S., Soydan, A. M., Tanriver, S. C., Celik, S., & Ranganathan, M. (2025). Mental health literacy and happiness among university students: A social work perspective to promoting well-being. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 16, 1541316.
- [23] Gayathri, R. K., Vetriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Balakrishnan, R., Kumar, R., & Kavitha, J. (2025). Striking a Balance: Mental Health Challenges and Work-Life Integration among Women Faculty in Indian B-Schools. *Texila International Journal of Public Health*, 13(2).
- [24] Gayathri, R. K., Vetriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Balakrishnan, R., Kumar, R., & Kavitha, J. (2025). Strategic Role of Human Resource Management in Enhancing Occupational Health and

- Safety Practices in Business Schools in India. *Texila International Journal of Public Health*, 13(2).
- [25] Jenifer, R. D., Vettriselvan, R., Saxena, D., Velmurugan, P. R., & Balakrishnan, A. (2025). Green Marketing in Healthcare Advertising: A Global Perspective. In *AI Impacts on Branded Entertainment and Advertising* (pp. 303-326). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [26] Kariveliparambil, A., Rasi, R. A., Ahmad, M. S., Oztas, N., & Ayan, F. S. (2026). Evolving Social Capital in Indigenous Communities: Perspectives on Trust, Reciprocity, and Cultural Preservation Among Irula Elders. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 52(1), 147-166.
- [27] Mustafa, N., Zahoor, H., Gamil, R. E., Ashifa, K. M., & Safaei, M. (2026). Empowering future caregivers: the role of self-leadership in reducing stress among nursing students. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 39(1), 74-103.
- [28] Natraj, N. A., Abirami, T., Ananthi, K., Venice, J. A., Chandru, R., & Rathish, C. R. (2024). The Impact of 5G Technology on the Digital Supply Chain and Operations Management Landscape. In *Applications of New Technology in Operations and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 289-311). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [29] Ranganathan, M., Jacob, A., Ashifa, K. M., Kumar, G. J., Anthony, M., Vijay, M., & Kumari, R. B. (2024). An investigation of the effects of chronic stress on attention in parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders. *Universal Journal of Public Health*, 12(1), 37-50.
- [30] Shanthi, H. J., Gokulakrishnan, A., Sharma, S., Deepika, R., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Urban Health: Applications, Challenges, and Innovations. In *Nexus of AI, Climatology, and Urbanism for Smart Cities* (pp. 275-306). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [31] Swadhi, R., Gayathri, K., Suresh, N. V., Catherine, S., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2025). Leveraging Machine Learning for Enhanced Patient Engagement and Outcomes: Revolutionizing Healthcare Marketing. In *Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Growth and Performance* (pp. 313-340). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [32] Swadhi, R., Velmurugan, P. R., Mahalingam, U., Keerthana, R., & Padmavathy, N. (2026). Embedding Fairness and Resilience: Human-Centered Leadership in AI-Driven Workplaces. In *Centering Positive Organizational Cultures Through Human-Centered Leadership* (pp. 139-162). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [33] Venice, J. A., Arivazhagan, D., Suman, N., Shanthi, H. J., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Recommendation systems and content personalization: algorithms, applications, and adaptive learning. In *AI for Large Scale Communication Networks* (pp. 323-348). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [34] Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Suresh, N. V., & Abirami, P. (2025). Enabling personalized learning and adaptive systems through strategic management: cloud integration in education. In *Bridging Academia and Industry Through Cloud Integration in Education* (pp. 49-72). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [35] Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Jain, S., Madusudanan, K., & Aarthy, C. C. J. (2025). Performance Evaluation and Metrics in Blockchain Powered AI/ML: Data Analytics for Cognitive Internet of Things (CIoT). In *Transforming Education With AI-Powered Personalized Learning* (pp. 143-178). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [36] Venice, A., Swadhi, R., Gayathri, K., Chandra, P., & Sajana, K. P. (2026). Rehabilitation Robotics and Adaptive Motion Planning for Patient-Centric Care. In *Intelligent Motion Control for Human-Centered Systems* (pp. 51-76). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [37] Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Harnessing innovation and digital marketing in the era of industry 5.0: resilient healthcare SMEs. In *The Future of Small Business in Industry 5.0* (pp. 163-186). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [38] Vettriselvan, R., & Anto, M. R. (2018). Pathetic health status and working condition of Zambian women. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 9(9), 259-264.
- [39] Vettriselvan, R., & Rajan FSA, A. J. (2019). Occupational Health Issues Faced by Women in Spinners. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 10(1).
- [40] Vettriselvan, R., Deepan, A., Jaiswani, G., Balakrishnan, A., & Sakthivel, R. (2025). Health Consequences of Early Marriage: Examining Morbidity and Long-Term Wellbeing. In *Social, Political, and Health Implications of Early Marriage* (pp. 189-212). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [41] Vettriselvan, R., Ramya, R., Selvalakshmi, V., Jyothi, P., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2026). Empowering Patients through Knowledge: Educational Strategies in Rehabilitation. In *Holistic Approaches to Health Recovery* (pp. 263-290). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [42] Vijayalakshmi, M., Subramani, A. K., Vettriselvan, R., Catherine, T. C., & Deepika, R. (2025). Sustainability and Responsibility in the Digital Era: Leveraging Green Marketing in Healthcare. In *Digital Citizenship and Building a Responsible Online Presence* (pp. 285-306). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [43] Vijayalakshmi, M., Subramani, A. K., Vettriselvan, R., Velmurugan, P. R., & Hasine, J. (2025). Strategic Collaborations in Medical Innovation and AI-Driven Globalization: Advancing Healthcare Startups. In *Navigating Strategic Partnerships for Sustainable Startup Growth* (pp. 85-110). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [44] Zahoor, H., Mustafa, N., Ashifa, K. M., Safaei, M., & El Gamil, R. (2025). Unlocking resilience: Emotional intelligence and self-leadership shape stress perception among health students. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 38(4), 395-419.