Engineering and Scientific International Journal (ESIJ)
Volume 13, Issue 2, April – June 2026
ISSN 2394-7187(Online)
ISSN 2394 - 7179 (Print)

Clinical Assessment Models for Depression and Anxiety Disorders Integrating Psychometric, Cognitive and Digital Diagnostic Frameworks

Dr. Jitendra Singh[*1], Vaibhav[2], Dr. Nitin Kumar[3]

[1]*Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Saraswathi Institute of Medical Sciences, Hapur*
[2]*Assistant Professor, Medical Surgical Nursing (MSN), Saraswathi College of Nursing, Hapur*
[3]*Principal & Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Saraswathi College of Pharmacy, Hapur*
Corresponding Author:jsingh@sims.edu.in

Abstract — Depression and anxiety disorders represent two of the most prevalent mental health conditions worldwide and contribute significantly to the global burden of disease. Accurate clinical assessment plays a crucial role in identifying these disorders, guiding treatment planning, and monitoring therapeutic outcomes. This cross-sectional analytical study examines clinical assessment models used in the diagnosis and evaluation of depression and anxiety disorders and explores the integration of traditional psychometric methods with emerging computational and AI-based approaches among 226 individuals. Integrated assessment models combining psychometric screening tools, cognitive frameworks, and digital mental health analytics provide more comprehensive diagnostic insights compared with single-method approaches. Network-based models demonstrated the highest predictive accuracy in identifying symptom severity ($F=6.14$, $p=0.002$). Continued research into digital mental health diagnostics and computational psychiatry may further enhance the accuracy and accessibility of mental health assessments.

Keywords *— Depression Assessment; Anxiety Disorders; Psychometric Models; Tripartite Model; Digital Mental Health Diagnostics; Computational Psychiatry.*

1. Introduction

Depression and anxiety disorders are among the most common mental health conditions worldwide. The tripartite model proposed by Clark and Watson (1991) suggests that depression and anxiety share a common component of general psychological distress while also possessing unique features such as anhedonia in depression and physiological hyperarousal in anxiety disorders. Beck et al. (2003) proposed a cognitive content-specificity model suggesting that individuals with depression exhibit negative beliefs related to self-worth and hopelessness, whereas individuals with anxiety display cognitive distortions related to threat perception. Cai et al. (2024) demonstrated how network models can provide valuable insights into the complex relationships between depressive and anxiety symptoms. Nemesure et al. (2021) developed predictive models using electronic health records to identify individuals at risk of depression and anxiety disorders.

AI-based innovations may support clinicians in developing more precise diagnostic tools and personalised treatment approaches (Devi et al., 2025; Shanthi et al., 2025; Catherine et al., 2025). Socioeconomic inequality, occupational stress, and limited healthcare access can significantly influence mental health outcomes (Ashifa, 2021; Kariveliparambil et al., 2026; Rekha et al., 2026). Mental health literacy and psychosocial resilience influence engagement with clinical assessment and treatment-seeking behaviour (Elkin et al., 2025; Ranganathan et al., 2024; Zahoor et al., 2025). Occupational health challenges and work-life integration difficulties compound the risk of depression and anxiety in working populations (Gayathri et al., 2025; Vettriselvan and Rajan, 2019). Physical health consequences of psychiatric conditions including schizophrenia further illustrate the intersectionality of mental and somatic health assessment (Ashifa, 2020). Community-based rehabilitation programmes support recovery from depression and anxiety through social engagement and skills development (Ashifa, 2019; Rasi and Ashifa, 2019). Patient empowerment through knowledge transfer and educational rehabilitation strategies supports sustained engagement with mental health assessment and treatment (Vettriselvan et al., 2026).

2. Review of Literature

Clark and Watson (1991) introduced the tripartite model, which proposed that depression and anxiety share common emotional distress while also exhibiting distinct psychological characteristics. Beck et al. (2003) provided empirical support for the cognitive content-specificity model. Cai et al. (2024) demonstrated how network models can provide valuable insights into the complex relationships between depressive and anxiety symptoms. Nemesure et al. (2021) developed machine learning models capable of predicting depression and anxiety risk using

DOI: 10.30726/esij/v13.i2.2026.1320024

ISSN 2394-7187(Online)
ISSN 2394 - 7179 (Print)

electronic health records. Chow et al. (2017) demonstrated how mobile sensing technologies can monitor behavioural indicators associated with depression and social anxiety.

Advances in computational psychiatry and digital health platforms may enhance diagnostic accuracy and facilitate personalised treatment strategies (Devi et al., 2025; Shanthi et al., 2025). Digital health marketing and machine learning platforms improve awareness about depression and anxiety assessment services (Swadhi et al., 2025; Jenifer et al., 2025). Strategic collaborations in medical innovation accelerate development of AI-driven psychiatric diagnostic tools (Vijayalakshmi et al., 2025). Self-leadership skills and emotional intelligence support mental health assessment quality among nursing and healthcare staff (Mustafa et al., 2026; Zahoor et al., 2025).

The social wellbeing of elderly populations and tribal health determinants shape the presentation of depression and anxiety across diverse community settings (Ashifa, 2022; Ashifa, 2021; Kariveliparambil et al., 2026). Rehabilitation and patient education strategies support sustained recovery from depression and anxiety disorders (Vettriselvan et al., 2026).

3. Objectives

- To evaluate the prevalence and comorbidity of depression and anxiety disorders among individuals seeking clinical assessment.
- To compare the effectiveness of different clinical assessment models including the tripartite model, cognitive content-specificity model, network symptom model, and standard psychometric scales.
- To examine the potential of digital health technologies and AI in improving mental health diagnostic accuracy.
- To propose clinical practice recommendations for enhancing assessment and management of depression and anxiety disorders.

4. Methodology

A cross-sectional analytical research design was employed among 226 participants aged 18–60 years presenting with symptoms of depression, anxiety, or related emotional disturbances in psychiatric outpatient departments, mental health clinics, and community counselling centres. The study examined clinical assessment frameworks including the tripartite model of emotional disorders, cognitive content-specificity models, and emerging network-based diagnostic approaches. Statistical analysis used descriptive statistics, ANOVA, and regression analysis at $p<0.05$. Ethical approval was obtained with informed consent from all participants.

5. Results and Discussion

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N = 226)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age Group	18–30 years	64	28.3
	31–45 years	92	40.7
	46–60 years	70	31.0
Gender	Male	124	54.9
	Female	102	45.1

Table 2: Prevalence of Depression and Anxiety Disorders

Disorder Type	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Depressive disorders	96	42.5
Anxiety disorders	78	34.5
Mixed anxiety-depression	38	16.8
Other emotional disorders	14	6.2

Table 3: Clinical Assessment Models Used in Diagnosis

Assessment Model	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Tripartite model	78	34.5
Cognitive content-specificity model	62	27.4
Network symptom model	48	21.2
Standard psychometric scale assessment	38	16.9

Table 4: ANOVA Analysis: Symptom Severity by Assessment Model

Assessment Model	Mean Symptom Severity Score	F-value	p-value
Tripartite model	3.74	5.62	0.004
Cognitive model	3.58	4.89	0.007
Network model	3.81	6.14	0.002
Psychometric scales	3.46	4.31	0.010

Network-based models demonstrated the highest predictive accuracy in identifying symptom severity ($F=6.14$, $p=0.002$), conceptualising psychiatric symptoms as interconnected systems rather than isolated diagnostic categories (Cai et al., 2024).

DOI: 10.30726/esij/v13.i2.2026.1320024

ISSN 2394-7187(Online)
ISSN 2394 - 7179 (Print)

A significant proportion of individuals exhibited comorbid symptoms of both depression and anxiety, consistent with previous research (Clark and Watson, 1991). Mobile sensing technologies and digital health platforms allow clinicians to collect behavioural and psychological data in real time, improving the accuracy of clinical assessment (Chow et al., 2017). Predictive modelling using electronic health records has demonstrated promising results in identifying individuals at risk of depression and anxiety disorders (Nemesure et al., 2021). Social determinants such as socioeconomic stress, occupational pressures, and limited healthcare access contribute significantly to psychological distress (Ashifa, 2021; Ranganathan et al., 2024). AI and digital technologies may further enhance personalised assessment and treatment approaches (Devi et al., 2025; Shanthi et al., 2025).

6. Conclusion

Depression and anxiety disorders represent two of the most prevalent mental health conditions worldwide. The tripartite model, cognitive assessment models, and network-based symptom models each provide valuable and complementary perspectives in clinical assessment. Network-based models demonstrated the highest predictive accuracy in identifying symptom severity. Integrating traditional psychometric assessment tools with cognitive models, network-based diagnostic frameworks, and emerging digital technologies provides a comprehensive and effective approach to assessing depression and anxiety disorders.

References

[1] Barlow, D. H., & Campbell, L. A. (2000). Mixed anxiety-depression and its implications for models of mood and anxiety disorders. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 41(2), 55–60.
[2] Beck, R., Benedict, B., & Winkler, A. (2003). Depression and anxiety: integrating the tripartite and cognitive content-specificity assessment models. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 25(4), 251–256.
[3] Belzung, C., & Lemoine, M. (2011). Criteria of validity for animal models of psychiatric disorders. Biology of Mood & Anxiety Disorders, 1(1), 9.
[4] Belzer, K., & Schneier, F. R. (2004). Comorbidity of anxiety and depressive disorders. Journal of Psychiatric Practice, 10(5), 296–306.
[5] Burns, D. D., & Eidelson, R. J. (1998). Why are depression and anxiety correlated? A test of the tripartite model. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 66(3), 461.
[6] Cai, H., et al. (2024). A network model of depressive and anxiety symptoms. Molecular Psychiatry, 29(3), 767–781.
[7] Castanheira, L., et al. (2018). Anxiety assessment in pre-clinical tests and in clinical trials. Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry, 18(19), 1656–1676.
[8] Chow, P. I., et al. (2017). Using mobile sensing to test clinical models of depression, social anxiety, state affect, and social isolation among college students. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 19(3), e62.
[9] Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1991). Tripartite model of anxiety and depression. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 100(3), 316.
[10] Ferreira, M. F., et al. (2018). Depression assessment in clinical trials and pre-clinical tests. Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry, 18(19), 1677–1703.
[11] Fuchs, E., & Flugge, G. (2006). Experimental animal models for the simulation of depression and anxiety. Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience, 8(3), 323–333.
[12] Lam, R. W., Michalaak, E. E., & Swinson, R. P. (2006). Assessment scales in depression and anxiety. CRC Press.
[13] Naragon-Gainey, K., et al. (2016). A comparison and integration of structural models of depression and anxiety in a clinical sample. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 125(7), 853.
[14] Nemesure, M. D., et al. (2021). Predictive modeling of depression and anxiety using electronic health records and a novel machine learning approach. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 1980.
[15] Nordin, K., et al. (2001). Predicting anxiety and depression among cancer patients: a clinical model. European Journal of Cancer, 37(3), 376–384.
[16] Obbarius, A., et al. (2017). Standardization of health outcomes assessment for depression and anxiety. Quality of Life Research, 26(12), 3211–3225.
[17] Rekha R, A S Aneeshkumar, (2026). Machine Learning Approaches for social media Based Depression Detection: A Review, Telematique, 25(1), pp. 373-383.
[18] Orner, R. J., et al. (2004). Anxiety and depression: a model for assessment and therapy in primary care. Primary Care Mental Health, 2(1), 55–65.
[19] Rose, M., & Devine, J. (2014). Assessment of patient-reported symptoms of anxiety. Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience, 16(2), 197–211.
[20] Shankman, S. A., & Klein, D. N. (2003). The relation between depression and anxiety. Clinical Psychology Review, 23(4), 605–637.
[21] Zhiguo, W. U., & Yiru, F. A. N. G. (2014). Comorbidity of depressive and anxiety disorders: challenges in diagnosis and assessment. Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry, 26(4), 227.
[22] Arockia, V. J., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Velmurugan, P. R. R., & Cheelo, C. (2025). Leveraging AI and Learning analytics for enhanced distance learning: transformation in education. In AI and learning analytics in distance learning (pp. 179-206). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
[23] Ashifa, K. M. (2019). Developmental initiatives for persons with disabilities: Appraisal on village-based rehabilitation of Amar Seva Sangam. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 10(12), 1257–1261.
[24] Rasi, R. A., & Ashifa, K. M. (2019). Role of community-based programmes for active ageing: Elders self-help group in Kerala. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 10(12).
[25] Ashifa, K. M. (2020). Effect of substance abuse on physical health of adolescents. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine, 7(2), 3155–3160.
[26] Ashifa, K. M. (2020). Physical health hazards of schizophrenia patients. Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy, 11(12), 1848–1850.
[27] Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Analysis on the determinants of health status among tribal communities. Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research, 12(3), 531–534.
[28] Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Health status of primitive tribal women in India. Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research, 12(5), 772.
[29] Ashifa, K. M. (2022). A situation analysis of the social well-being of elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Health Sciences, 6(3), 10156–10163.
[30] Ashifa, K. M., & Ramya, P. (2019). Health afflictions and quality of work life among women working in fireworks industry. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 8(6S3), 1723–1725.
[31] Basha, R., Pathak, P., Sudha, M., Soumya, K. V., & Arockia Venice, J. (2025). Optimization of quantum dilated convolutional neural networks: Image recognition with quantum computing. Internet Technology Letters, 8(3), e70027.
[32] Catherine, S., Gupta, N., Gopi, E., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Enhancing Patient Engagement and Outcomes Through Digital Transformation:

DOI: 10.30726/esij/v13.i2.2026.1320024

ISSN 2394-7187(Online)
ISSN 2394 - 7179 (Print)

Machine Learning in Medical Marketing. In Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Growth and Performance (pp. 285-312). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[33] Devi, M., Manokaran, D., Sehgal, R. K., Shariff, S. A., & Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Precision Medicine, Personalized Treatment, and Network-Driven Innovations: Transforming Healthcare With AI. In AI for Large Scale Communication Networks (pp. 303-322). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[34] Elkin, N., Mohammed, A. K., Kilincel, S., Soydan, A. M., Tanriver, S. C., Celik, S., & Ranganathan, M. (2025). Mental health literacy and happiness among university students: A social work perspective to promoting well-being. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 16, 1541316.

[35] Gayathri, R. K., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Balakrishnan, R., Kumar, R., & Kavitha, J. (2025). Striking a Balance: Mental Health Challenges and Work-Life Integration among Women Faculty in Indian B-Schools. Texila International Journal of Public Health, 13(2).

[36] Gayathri, R. K., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Balakrishnan, R., Kumar, R., & Kavitha, J. (2025). Strategic Role of Human Resource Management in Enhancing Occupational Health and Safety Practices in Business Schools in India. Texila International Journal of Public Health, 13(2).

[37] Jenifer, R. D., Vettriselvan, R., Saxena, D., Velmurugan, P. R., & Balakrishnan, A. (2025). Green Marketing in Healthcare Advertising: A Global Perspective. In AI Impacts on Branded Entertainment and Advertising (pp. 303-326). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[38] Kariveliparambil, A., Rasi, R. A., Ahmad, M. S., Oztas, N., & Ayan, F. S. (2026). Evolving Social Capital in Indigenous Communities: Perspectives on Trust, Reciprocity, and Cultural Preservation Among Irula Elders. Journal of Social Service Research, 52(1), 147–166.

[39] Mustafa, N., Zahoor, H., Gamil, R. E., Ashifa, K. M., & Safaei, M. (2026). Empowering future caregivers: the role of self-leadership in reducing stress among nursing students. International Journal of Innovation and Learning, 39(1), 74-103.

[40] Natraj, N. A., Abirami, T., Ananthi, K., Venice, J. A., Chandru, R., & Rathish, C. R. (2024). The Impact of 5G Technology on the Digital Supply Chain and Operations Management Landscape. In Applications of New Technology in Operations and Supply Chain Management (pp. 289-311). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[41] Ranganathan, M., Jacob, A., Ashifa, K. M., Kumar, G. J., Anthony, M., Vijay, M., & Kumari, R. B. (2024). An investigation of the effects of chronic stress on attention in parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Universal Journal of Public Health, 12(1), 37–50.

[42] Shanthi, H. J., Gokulakrishnan, A., Sharma, S., Deepika, R., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Urban Health: Applications, Challenges, and Innovations. In Nexus of AI, Climatology, and Urbanism for Smart Cities (pp. 275-306). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[43] Swadhi, R., Gayathri, K., Suresh, N. V., Catherine, S., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2025). Leveraging Machine Learning for Enhanced Patient Engagement and Outcomes: Revolutionizing Healthcare Marketing. In Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Growth and Performance (pp. 313-340). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[44] Swadhi, R., Velmurugan, P. R., Mahalingam, U., Keerthana, R., & Padmavathy, N. (2026). Embedding Fairness and Resilience: Human-Centered Leadership in AI-Driven Workplaces. In Centering Positive Organizational Cultures Through Human-Centered Leadership (pp. 139-162). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[45] Venice, J. A., Arivazhagan, D., Suman, N., Shanthi, H. J., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Recommendation systems and content personalization: algorithms, applications, and adaptive learning. In AI for Large Scale Communication Networks (pp. 323-348). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[46] Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Suresh, N. V., & Abirami, P. (2025). Enabling personalized learning and adaptive systems through strategic management: cloud integration in education. In Bridging Academia and Industry Through Cloud Integration in Education (pp. 49-72). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[47] Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Jain, S., Madusudanan, K., & Aarthy, C. C. J. (2025). Performance Evaluation and Metrics in Blockchain Powered AI/ML: Data Analytics for Cognitive Internet of Things (CIoT). In Transforming Education With AI-Powered Personalized Learning (pp. 143-178). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[48] Venice, A., Swadhi, R., Gayathri, K., Chandra, P., & Sajana, K. P. (2026). Rehabilitation Robotics and Adaptive Motion Planning for Patient-Centric Care. In Intelligent Motion Control for Human-Centered Systems (pp. 51-76). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[49] Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Harnessing innovation and digital marketing in the era of industry 5.0: resilient healthcare SMEs. In The Future of Small Business in Industry 5.0 (pp. 163-186). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[50] Vettriselvan, R., & Anto, M. R. (2018). Pathetic health status and working condition of Zambian women. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 9(9), 259-264.

[51] Vettriselvan, R., & Rajan FSA, A. J. (2019). Occupational Health Issues Faced by Women in Spinners. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 10(1).

[52] Vettriselvan, R., Deepan, A., Jaiswani, G., Balakrishnan, A., & Sakthivel, R. (2025). Health Consequences of Early Marriage: Examining Morbidity and Long-Term Wellbeing. In Social, Political, and Health Implications of Early Marriage (pp. 189-212). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[53] Vettriselvan, R., Ramya, R., Selvalakshmi, V., Jyothi, P., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2026). Empowering Patients through Knowledge: Educational Strategies in Rehabilitation. In Holistic Approaches to Health Recovery (pp. 263-290). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[54] Vijayalakshmi, M., Subramani, A. K., Vettriselvan, R., Catherin, T. C., & Deepika, R. (2025). Sustainability and Responsibility in the Digital Era: Leveraging Green Marketing in Healthcare. In Digital Citizenship and Building a Responsible Online Presence (pp. 285-306). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[55] Vijayalakshmi, M., Subramani, A. K., Vettriselvan, R., Velmurugan, P. R., & Hasine, J. (2025). Strategic Collaborations in Medical Innovation and AI-Driven Globalization: Advancing Healthcare Startups. In Navigating Strategic Partnerships for Sustainable Startup Growth (pp. 85-110). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[56] Zahoor, H., Mustafa, N., Ashifa, K. M., Safaei, M., & El Gamil, R. (2025). Unlocking resilience: Emotional intelligence and self-leadership shape stress perception among health students. International Journal of Innovation and Learning, 38(4), 395–419.

DOI: **10.30726/esij/v13.i2.2026.1320024**