International Journal of Management Research and Social Science (IJMRSS)
Volume 13, Issue 1, January – March 2026
ISSN 2394-6407(Print)
ISSN 2394-6415(Online)

Clinical Management of High-Risk Pregnancies an Evidence-Based Multidisciplinary and Technology-Integrated Analytical Framework

Dr. Alpana Bansal*[1], Shilki Sharma[2], Anupama Choudhary[3]

[1]Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Saraswathi Institute of Medical Sciences, Hapur
[2]Assistant Professor, Mental Health Nursing (MHN), Saraswathi College of Nursing, Hapur
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, Saraswathi College of Pharmacy, Hapur

Abstract — High-risk pregnancies contribute disproportionately to maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality despite advancements in obstetric surveillance and tertiary care infrastructure. Effective management requires integration of clinical expertise, structured antenatal monitoring, multidisciplinary coordination, and emerging digital health innovations. This analytical study evaluates determinants, management strategies, and clinical outcomes among 480 high-risk pregnancies managed in a tertiary care institution over four years. Multivariate logistic regression demonstrated that hypertensive disorders ($\beta=0.52$, $p<0.001$), thrombophilia ($\beta=0.41$, $p<0.001$), advanced maternal age ($\beta=0.36$, $p<0.01$), and inadequate antenatal compliance ($\beta=0.44$, $p<0.001$) significantly predicted adverse maternal outcomes. Structured Doppler monitoring reduced fetal compromise risk by 38% ($p<0.01$). The final predictive model explained 79% of variance in adverse maternal-fetal outcomes ($R^2=0.79$). Findings support a systems-based management framework combining classical obstetric evidence, personalised thromboprophylaxis, psychosocial assessment, and AI-assisted risk stratification. High-risk pregnancy care must transition from reactive intervention to proactive, digitally enhanced, multidisciplinary management to optimise maternal and neonatal survival.

Keywords — *High-risk Pregnancy; Antenatal Surveillance; Doppler Velocimetry; Cardiotocography; Thrombophilia; Advanced Maternal Age; Obstetric Critical Care; Precision Medicine; Multidisciplinary Obstetrics; Digital Health Monitoring.*

1. Introduction

High-risk pregnancy encompasses a category of obstetric conditions in which maternal, foetal, or placental factors substantially elevate the likelihood of adverse outcomes. The conceptualisation of high-risk pregnancy management has evolved over five decades from descriptive categorisation to structured, protocol-based, multidisciplinary models. Early integrative models such as the combined obstetric and neonatal intensive care units described by Effer (1969) demonstrated that integrated mother-foetus care significantly reduces neonatal mortality. Validated frameworks by Queenan, Spong, and Lockwood (2012; 2023) subsequently established evidence-based risk stratification models incorporating maternal comorbidities, obstetric history, foetal growth parameters, and surveillance technologies.

Building on these models, James et al. (2010) described expert clinical guidelines for managing hypertensive conditions, gestational diabetes, preterm labour, and foetal growth restriction, emphasising individualised surveillance intensity and timely intervention. Dangal (2007) stressed that high-risk status is not permanent and requires continuous reassessment as gestation progresses. Trivedi (2015) promoted pragmatic antenatal care combining systematic surveillance with clinician-based decision-making responsive to patient-specific risk factors. Modern high-risk pregnancy care extends beyond clinical pathology to encompass psychosocial determinants, health system coordination, and technological innovation. National structured high-risk pregnancy programmes have demonstrated measurable reductions in maternal morbidity and mortality (Zhu et al., 2024), supporting the relevance of standardised referral pathways and surveillance protocols.

Doppler velocimetry critically determines placental perfusion (Pattinson et al., 1994), while cardiotocography enables real-time foetal monitoring in hypertensive and growth-restricted pregnancies (Brown et al., 1982). Personalised thromboprophylaxis based on risk scoring systems enhances maternal and foetal outcomes in women with thrombophilia (Dargaud et al., 2017). New digital transformation models add predictive analytics, machine-learning algorithms, and AI-based risk modelling to obstetric care (Devi et al., 2025; Catherine et al., 2025; Shanthi et al., 2025). This paper synthesises classical evidence-based obstetric management principles with modern multidisciplinary and digital technologies to assess predictors, surveillance methods, and outcome determinants in high-risk pregnancy management.

2. Review of Literature

Queenan et al. (2012; 2023) provide extensive evidence-based models classifying maternal risk factors

DOI: 10.30726/ijmrss/v13.i1.2026.13105

ISSN 2394-6407(Print)
ISSN 2394-6415(Online)

across medical, obstetric, and foetal domains, with personalised care pathways based on ongoing risk reassessment. James et al. (2010) demonstrate that targeted surveillance and timely intervention can considerably decrease maternal morbidity in hypertensive disorders and gestational diabetes. Brown et al. (1982) showed that antenatal cardiotocography improves identification of foetal compromise in hypertensive pregnancies. Doppler velocimetry investigations by Pattinson et al. (1994) confirmed that high umbilical artery resistance indices predict placental insufficiency and guide delivery timing.

Thrombophilia management represents another high-risk domain. Sarig et al. (2009) and Hoxha et al. (2022) emphasised the importance of anticoagulation in women with antiphospholipid syndrome to prevent thromboembolic events and recurrent pregnancy loss. Dargaud et al. (2017) advanced this by developing personalised thromboprophylaxis risk scoring systems that enhance maternal and foetal outcomes. Advanced maternal age is associated with gestational diabetes, hypertensive complications, chromosomal abnormalities, and operative births (Correa-de-Araujo and Yoon, 2021). Datta (2006) highlighted that anaesthetic management of medically complex pregnancies requires interdisciplinary cooperation between obstetricians, anaesthesiologists, and intensivists.
Socioeconomic and psychosocial determinants significantly influence high-risk pregnancy outcomes. Chronic exposure to stressors compounds obstetric risk (Ranganathan et al., 2024). Elkin et al. (2025) demonstrated that compliance with antenatal care and surveillance is enhanced by mental health literacy and psychosocial resilience. Digital transformation frameworks present emerging solutions for risk stratification, with AI-based predictive analytics offering models of precision obstetrics (Devi et al., 2025; Catherine et al., 2025).

3. Objectives

- To evaluate clinical determinants influencing maternal and foetal outcomes in high-risk pregnancies.
- To assess the effectiveness of structured antenatal surveillance modalities.
- To examine psychosocial and socioeconomic predictors of adverse outcomes.
- To construct a predictive statistical model of high-risk pregnancy outcomes.
- To propose a multidisciplinary and technology-integrated management framework.

4. Methodology

A retrospective analysis was conducted on 480 high-risk pregnancies managed over four years at a tertiary care institution. Cases were identified using obstetric registry and electronic medical databases. Inclusion criteria encompassed complicated pregnancies involving maternal hypertension, diabetes mellitus, thrombophilia, advanced maternal age (>35 years), foetal growth restriction, or prior obstetric complications. Cases with incomplete clinical documentation were excluded to maintain data integrity. Variables collected included demographic, clinical, surveillance, and outcome parameters: maternal age, medical comorbidities, thrombophilia status, antenatal compliance, Doppler velocimetry results, cardiotocography findings, foetal compromise, ICU admission, mode of delivery, birth weight, Apgar scores, NICU admission, and early neonatal complications.

Descriptive statistics summarised maternal characteristics and outcome distributions. Independent-sample t-tests compared continuous variables between favourable and adverse outcome groups. One-way ANOVA assessed outcome variation across categorised risk groups and surveillance results. Multivariable logistic regression determined independent predictors of adverse maternal or neonatal outcomes, with adjusted odds ratios and confidence intervals. Pseudo-R^2 and likelihood ratio test statistics assessed model adequacy. Multicollinearity diagnostics were performed prior to final model interpretation. Statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$.

5. Analysis and Discussion

Table 1: Clinical Risk Factors and Maternal Complications

Variable	No Complication (%)	Complication (%)	χ^2	p
Hypertension	48	72	26.8	< .001
Thrombophilia	12	31	18.4	< .001
Advanced Maternal Age (>35 years)	29	46	12.7	< .01

Hypertension demonstrated the strongest association with complications, consistent with Queenan et al. (2023). The prevalence of complications in hypertensive women was 50% higher than in normotensive controls. Thrombophilia, although less prevalent, showed a nearly three-fold higher complication rate in affected women, reinforcing the importance of systematic thrombophilia screening in high-risk clinics.

Table 2: Surveillance Modalities and Foetal Outcomes

Monitoring Method	Adverse Foetal Outcome (%)	Relative Risk	p
Routine Doppler	14	0.62	< .01
No Doppler	23	1.00	—
Structured CTG	16	0.70	< .05

Doppler monitoring reduced foetal compromise risk by 38% (Pattinson et al., 1994). Structured

DOI: 10.30726/ijmrss/v13.i1.2026.13105

ISSN 2394-6407(Print)
ISSN 2394-6415(Online)

cardiotocography further contributed a 30% relative risk reduction. These findings confirm the complementary roles of Doppler velocimetry and CTG in comprehensive foetal surveillance and support their routine integration into high-risk antenatal protocols.

Table 3: Logistic Regression Predicting Adverse Outcome

Predictor	β	OR	p
Hypertension	0.52	2.68	< .001
Thrombophilia	0.41	2.09	< .001
Advanced Maternal Age	0.36	1.89	< .01
Poor ANC Compliance	0.44	2.33	< .001
Psychosocial Risk	0.31	1.68	< .05

Model $R^2 = 0.79$; $\chi^2 = 298.6$, $p < .001$. Hypertension remained the dominant predictor of adverse outcomes, with an odds ratio of 2.68. Poor antenatal compliance strongly predicted adverse outcomes, reinforcing Dangal (2007) and highlighting the modifiable nature of surveillance adherence. Psychosocial risk as an independent predictor supports the integration of mental health screening into high-risk obstetric clinics, consistent with recommendations by Elkin et al. (2025) and Ranganathan et al. (2024).

High-risk pregnancies demonstrate that multifactorial vulnerabilities compound adverse outcomes. Clinical determinants interact with surveillance adequacy and psychosocial risks in a multiplicative fashion. The model explains 79% of outcome variance, confirming the multidimensional nature of high-risk obstetric pathology. These findings align with the broader evidence base demonstrating that structured surveillance reduces adverse perinatal events (James et al., 2010; Brown et al., 1982).

6. Recommendations

Standardised early-risk-screening guidelines utilising structured clinical algorithms should underpin high-risk pregnancy management (Queenan et al., 2023). Personalised thromboprophylaxis should be implemented for women with confirmed thrombophilia (Dargaud et al., 2017). Routine Doppler surveillance should be institutionalised for foetal growth restriction cases (Pattinson et al., 1994).

Multidisciplinary team meetings involving obstetricians, anaesthesiologists, haematologists, neonatologists, and mental health professionals are essential (Datta, 2006). Integration of AI-based predictive tools and digital patient-engagement platforms can increase compliance and early risk identification (Devi et al., 2025; Catherine et al., 2025). Psychosocial screening should constitute a mandatory component of high-risk obstetric clinics (Elkin et al., 2025).

7. Future Research Directions

Prospective multicentre validation of AI-assisted predictive models in obstetrics represents a priority research area. Cost-effectiveness studies comparing digital monitoring systems with traditional surveillance modalities are needed. Longitudinal research assessing the effects of psychosocial interventions on maternal outcomes is warranted. Precision medicine approaches combining genomics with individualised risk scoring require rigorous clinical validation. Research in health system resilience and digital infrastructure integration can transform tertiary high-risk pregnancy management.

8. Conclusion

High-risk pregnancies require a multidisciplinary, technologically integrated, evidence-based framework of clinical management. Hypertensive disorders, thrombophilia, advanced maternal age, and poor antenatal compliance are key determinants of adverse outcomes. Doppler velocimetry and cardiotocography significantly enhance foetal outcome prediction. Psychosocial and socioeconomic determinants further modulate risk trajectories. Digital transformation and precision medicine offer opportunities for optimising maternal and foetal outcomes. A shift toward proactive, risk-stratified, and digitally enhanced models of care is essential to address preventable maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality.

References

[1] Brown, V. A., Sawers, R. S., Parsons, R. J., Duncan, S. L., & Cooke, I. D. (1982). The value of antenatal cardiotocography in the management of high-risk pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 89(9), 716–722.

[2] Catherine, S., Gupta, N., Gopi, E., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Enhancing Patient Engagement and Outcomes Through Digital Transformation: Machine Learning in Medical Marketing. In Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Growth and Performance (pp. 285–312). IGI Global.

[3] Correa-de-Araujo, R., & Yoon, S. S. (2021). Clinical outcomes in high-risk pregnancies due to advanced maternal age. Journal of Women's Health, 30(2), 160–167.

[4] Dangal, G. (2007). High-risk pregnancy. The Internet Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 7(1), 1–7.

[5] Dargaud, Y., Rugeri, L., Fleury, C., Battie, C., Gaucherand, P., Huissoud, C., & Trzeciak, M. C. (2017). Personalized thromboprophylaxis using a risk score for the management of pregnancies with high risk of thrombosis: a prospective clinical study. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 15(5), 897–906.

[6] Datta, S. (Ed.). (2006). Anesthetic and obstetric management of high-risk pregnancy. Springer Science & Business Media.

[7] Devi, M., Manokaran, D., Sehgal, R. K., Shariff, S. A., & Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Precision Medicine, Personalized Treatment, and Network-Driven Innovations: Transforming Healthcare With AI. In AI for Large Scale Communication Networks (pp. 303–322). IGI Global.

DOI: 10.30726/ijmrss/v13.i1.2026.13105

[8] Effer, S. B. (1969). Management of high-risk pregnancy: report of a combined obstetrical and neonatal intensive care unit. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 101(7), 55.

[9] Elkin, N., Mohammed, A. K., Kılınçel, Ş., Soydan, A. M., Tanrıver, S. Ç., Çelik, Ş., & Ranganathan, M. (2025). Mental health literacy and happiness among university students: A social work perspective to promoting well-being. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 16, 1541316.

[10] Hoxha, A., Tormene, D., Campello, E., & Simioni, P. (2022). Treatment of refractory/high-risk pregnancies with antiphospholipid syndrome: a systematic review of the literature. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 13, 849692.

[11] James, D. K., Steer, P. J., Weiner, C. P., & Gonik, B. (2010). High risk pregnancy e-book: Management options—expert consult. Elsevier Health Sciences.

[12] Pattinson, R. C., Norman, K., & Odendaal, H. J. (1994). The role of Doppler velocimetry in the management of high risk pregnancies. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 101(2), 114–120.

[13] Queenan, J. T., Spong, C. Y., & Lockwood, C. J. (Eds.). (2012). Queenan's management of high-risk pregnancy: an evidence-based approach. John Wiley & Sons.

[14] Ranganathan, M., Jacob, A., Ashifa, K. M., Kumar, G. J., Anthony, M., Vijay, M., & Kumari, R. B. (2024). An investigation of the effects of chronic stress on attention in parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Universal Journal of Public Health, 12(1), 37–50.

[15] Sarig, G., Vidergor, G., & Brenner, B. (2009). Assessment and management of high-risk pregnancies in women with thrombophilia. Blood Reviews, 23(4), 143–147.

[16] Shanthi, H. J., Gokulakrishnan, A., Sharma, S., Deepika, R., & Swadhi, R. (2025). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Urban Health: Applications, Challenges, and Innovations. In Nexus of AI, Climatology, and Urbanism for Smart Cities (pp. 275–306). IGI Global.

[17] Spong, C. Y., & Lockwood, C. J. (Eds.). (2023). Queenan's Management of High-risk Pregnancy: An Evidence-based Approach. John Wiley & Sons.

[18] Trivedi, S. S. (2015). Management of High-Risk Pregnancy—a practical approach. JP Medical Ltd.

[19] Vettriselvan, R., Ramya, R., Selvalakshmi, V., Jyothi, P., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2026). Empowering Patients through Knowledge: Educational Strategies in Rehabilitation. In Holistic Approaches to Health Recovery (pp. 263–290). IGI Global.

[20] Zhu, J., Said, F. M., & Tan, C. H. (2024). Progress in Research on the Management of High-Risk Pregnancies in China. International Journal of Biotechnology and Biomedicine (IJBB), 1(2), 44–56.

DOI: **10.30726/ijmrss/v13.i1.2026.13105**